EXHIBIT Y TO THE JUNE 26, 2008 DECLARATION OF GREGORY I. RASIN, ESQ.

Harper, Blii

From:

McMahon, Anne on behalf of Mcmahon, Anne (MHM - anne_mcmahon)

Sent:

Wednesday, January 14, 2004 10:55 AM

To:

Harper, Bill

Subject:

FW: Sang Mi Pak

Let's try again. I have spoken with Jesan on the issue and it's behind us but wanted to let you know this was not correctly handled.

Anne

—Orlginal Message

McMahon, Anne From:

5ent:

Monday, January 12, 2004 6:00 PM

To:

Spencer, Jesan (MHC - jesan_spencer); Harper, Bill (MHC - bill_harper)

Subjects

riv: Sang Mi Pak

Seemed to bounce back....

--Original Message

From:

McMahon, Anne

Senti To:

Monday, January 12, 2004 5:29 PM Spencer, Jesan (Jesan_sponcer)

Gazzola, Ken; 'Harper, Dill (bill_harper)'

Subject:

Sang Mi Pak

Jesan,

I am extremely concerned about the hiring process of Sang Mi. Some of this I expressed to you verbally but now my concerns have elevated. You and I discussed the grading of Sang Mi and you recommended we go with a 14. I said no, 13 would be the highest as I have other staff members to be concerned about that are not at this level.

Today documentation was faxed to Rohanie Singh - not sure why not to me directly on an HR subject - with the grade changed from a 13 to a 14. I spoke with Sheila and corrected it to 13 but Rohanie asked someone what her grade level was today which is obviously not a coincidence and on my staff she was the concern. Cathy also had concerns on her staff.

As a comparison Rohanie was recently promoted to a 14 from an 11 after completing her MBA - she has several years experience and more education than Sang Mi which is why I disagreed with the policy of saying we try to place the interns at a 14. We should focus on matching the skill sets and experience to the business needs rather than assuming you start at a certain grade level coming out of this program.

I will speak with Rohanie on this issue and diffuse any problems but I expect all future HR issues to be handled in a far more confidential manner.

Also, on another note, we had a meeting set up last week and I never heard back. Do you want to reschedule?

Anne

360 Degree Feedback Worksheet

1
Employee: Date: 12/20
Manager: Bill
<u> </u>
Interviewee: Jin Edles
Relationship to Employee: (where
1) What should employee continue doing? It I Effort to Reorn about Elik (bat 3 months) - Lean bow prople introck aggregative - Come to more Edit nettings - Spend time in Obaws bould lever once a week
2) What should the employee stop doing?
Other people - need to be forth in responding. - He doesn- is a that people is releval - orchroniledge that for Query nos
3) What should the employee start doing?
Could bulphed be nove volvable
More about international using - Benefit's (perficite the So few people know about this)
werene of other
We have the employee overall? Why I many a contain
We have 20 or 50 fearte How would you rate this employee overall? Why? Formantic anticulated the fough with begin to the following follows
Todaise 180 consine when they to Clown about
How would you rate this employee overall? Why? Internationally services to be to raph when the solid completed no leaf soldens musel him - Solid completed no leaves about the solid to clean about hurses the confident toward and you.
- more confident toward and of the